

Metodi Matematici - Lez. 26

Titolo nota

19 dicembre 2017 (9:30-11.15) - docente: Prof. Emanuele Callegari - Università di Roma Tor Vergata

Teorema 1 Se $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ allora $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

DIM

$$\| \lambda^m (\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))^{(k)} \|_{\infty}$$

$$\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx$$

$$\lambda^m (\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))^{(k)} = \lambda^m \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-i x)^k \varphi(x) e^{-i\lambda x} dx$$

$$\left| \lambda^m (\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))^{(k)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{(-i)^m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-i x)^k \varphi(x) \overbrace{(-i\lambda)^m e^{-i\lambda x}}^{(e^{-i\lambda x})^{(m)}} dx \right|$$

$$= \left| \frac{1}{(-i)^m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (-i x)^k \varphi(x) (e^{-i\lambda x})^{(m)} dx \right| =$$

$$= \left| (-1)^m \frac{(-i)^k}{(-i)^m} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (x^k \varphi(x))^{(m)} e^{-i\lambda x} dx \right| \leq$$

$$\leq \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |(x^k \varphi(x))^{(m)}| dx =$$

$$= \| (x^k \varphi(x))^{(m)} \|_{L^1}$$

$$(f \cdot g)^{(m)} = \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} f^{(i)} \cdot g^{(m-i)}$$

$$= \left\| \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} (\lambda^k)^{(i)} \cdot (\varphi(\lambda))^{(m-i)} \right\|_{L^1} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=0}^m \binom{m}{i} \left\| (\lambda^k)^{(i)} \cdot (\varphi(\lambda))^{(m-i)} \right\|_{L^1} \leq$$

$$\leq \sum C_{i,j} \left\| \lambda^i \varphi^{(j)} \right\|_{L^1} \leq$$

$$\begin{matrix} i=0, \dots, k \\ j=0, \dots, m \end{matrix}$$

$$\leq \sum C_{i,j} \left(\left\| \lambda^i \varphi^{(j)} \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \lambda^{i+2} \varphi^{(j)} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right)$$

$$\left| \lambda^m (\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))^{(k)} \right| \leq \dots \leq \square$$

N.B. Fissate m e k
 la disuguaglianza vale
 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

Quindi prendendo al sup per $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ ottengo

$$\left\| \lambda^m (\widehat{\varphi}(\lambda))^{(k)} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \square$$

Quindi $\widehat{\varphi} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

Teo 2 Se $(\varphi_n) \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ e $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})} \varphi$
 allora $\widehat{\varphi}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})} \widehat{\varphi}$.

Dim Poiché $\widehat{\cdot}$ è lineare basta dimostrare
 teorema quando $\varphi = 0$.

Procedendo come prima, per ogni $n \in \mathbb{N}$, si ha

$$0 \leq \left\| \chi^m (\widehat{\varphi}_n(\lambda))^{(k)} \right\|_{L^\infty} \leq \sum_{\substack{i=0, \dots, k \\ j=0, \dots, m}} C_{i,j} \left(\left\| \chi^i \varphi_n^{(j)} \right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| \chi^{i+2} \varphi_n^{(j)} \right\|_{L^\infty} \right)$$

per confronto

\downarrow
 0

($C_{i,j}$ non dipende da n)

Perché
 $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})} 0$

\downarrow
 0

Il fatto che per ogni fissato $m, k \in \mathbb{N}$ si abbia

$$\left\| \chi^m (\widehat{\varphi}_n(\lambda))^{(k)} \right\|_{L^\infty} \rightarrow 0$$

significa che $\widehat{\varphi}_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})} 0$

OSS.

In $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ vale la formula di inversione

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\varphi}(\lambda) e^{i\lambda x} d\lambda$$

Def. Dato $T \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R})$ definiremo \hat{T} nello modo seguente:

$$\hat{T}(\varphi) = T(\hat{\varphi}).$$

OSS.

la nuova def. è coerente con quella vecchia, cioè se $f \in L^1$

$$\hat{T}_f = T_{\hat{f}}$$

Infatti:

$$\hat{T}_f(\varphi) = T_f(\hat{\varphi}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) \hat{\varphi}(x) dx = (*)$$

$$T_{\hat{f}}(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) e^{-ixy} dy \right) \varphi(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(y) \varphi(x) e^{-ixy} dy \right) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) e^{-ixy} dx \right) f(y) dy =$$

↖ ↗
scambi per T. Fub. Tonell.

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\varphi}(y) f(y) dy = (*)$$

OSS (Da fare per les. prec.)

$$\textcircled{1} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(x) g'(x) dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f'(x) g(x) dx = \textcircled{2}$$

o $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$ e g' e g limitate.

Perché w già che \int converge

$$\textcircled{1} = \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-b}^b f(x) g'(x) dx =$$

$$= \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\left[f(x) g(x) \right]_{-b}^b - \int_{-b}^b f'(x) g(x) dx \right) =$$

Perché $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})$

Perché w può che \int converge $+\infty$

$$= - \lim_{b \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{-b}^b f'(x) g(x) dx = - \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f'(x) g(x) dx$$

||
 $\textcircled{2}$

ES 1 $\hat{\delta}_0 = 1$

$$(\hat{\delta})(\varphi) = \delta(\hat{\varphi}) = \hat{\varphi}(0) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \cdot e^{-ix \cdot 0} dx =$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 1 \cdot \varphi(x) dx = T_1(\varphi)$$

OSS. $\hat{T} = 2\pi T(-x)$

$\hat{\varphi} = 2\pi \varphi(-x)$
so $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$

$$\hat{T}(\varphi) = \hat{T}(\hat{\varphi}) = T(\hat{\hat{\varphi}}) =$$

$$= T(2\pi \varphi(-x)) = 2\pi T(\varphi(-x)) =$$

$$= 2\pi (T(-x))(\varphi(x))$$

Under $\hat{1} = \hat{\delta} = 2\pi \delta(-x) = 2\pi \delta$
